UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/717,760                 | 11/20/2003                           | Sachiko Kuno         | 9694-000006         | 8794             |
|                            | 7590 05/29/200<br>CKEY & PIERCE, P.L | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 828               |                                      |                      | CARTER, CANDICE D   |                  |
| BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303 |                                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                            |                                      |                      | 3629                |                  |
|                            |                                      |                      |                     |                  |
|                            |                                      |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                            |                                      |                      | 05/29/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments   | 10/717,760  | KUNO, SACHIKO                |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |
|  | CANDICE D. CARTER   | 3629                         |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No  | ovember 2003.   |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  | ice except for formal matters, pro  | secution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:                        | te                           |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/717,760 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a method of doing business among two or more entities engaged in research and development, wherein the object of said research and development is the subject of a multinational portfolio, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
- II. Claims 8-11, drawn to a computer implemented method of providing a secondary market for regulatory data and information among two or more entities engaged in research and development where the research and development is the subject of a multinational patent portfolio, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
- III. Claims 12-22, drawn to a method for allowing mutual access of regulatory approving data required for obtaining an approval of a government and/or regulations among a plurality of parties resident in a variety of jurisdictions, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as

Application/Control Number: 10/717,760 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

calculating and recording values related to a territorial distribution. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

3. Inventions I and III are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if: (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have materially different functions. Invention I is directed towards engaging in research between two or more entities and Invention II is directed towards obtaining an approval of a government and/or regulations. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Art Unit: 3629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CANDICE D. CARTER whose telephone number is (571) 270-5105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7:30am- 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CDC

/John G. Weiss/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629